12 Aug 11 17 37 1/2

MEDICHANDUM FOR: DO /A

I am passing this on as requested by the morning speaking, the standards set forth in the proposed now problem as are too minimal for our purposes. By own experience with personnel security cases has suggested two exters which we have consider in cornection with this report, namely:

- 1. Recommendation on page 21, of the report test no exever be bired subject to a security clearance is sound and should be adopted by this agency. This would eliminate a great many of our difficulties with reject applicants.
- 2. If we could obtain some discretion (such as the Defense Department scene to have assumed, judgment from its recommendations) with respect to avoiding loyalty hearings on applicants in cases where If if feels that it should be raived, it would be heapful. I have in mind two types of cases which have recently come to my attention, namely:
 - a. A purson who twenty years are belonged as a student to an Italian Society which is now on the Athorney Ceneral's list.
 - b. The case of academic people who subscribe to certain literature published by groups on the Attorney General's list. It is frequently the duty of an academician to be acquainted with such literature.

Stuart Hodden

Encl: Letter from ires. From are all Executive Departments and Associes with cony of resort on lederal employee security programs recovered by ICIS. Str dtd 7 August 1950.

STAT

12 Au at 1952

MEHORANDUM FOR: DU/A

I am passing this on as requested by speaking, the stantards set forth in the proposed ses proceds to are too minimal for our purposes. My can experience with porsomel security cases has suggested two matters which he should consider in commection with this report, namely:

- 1. Recommendation on page 24 of the report that no one ever be kired subject to a security clearance is sound and should be adopted by this Agence. This would eliminate a great many of our difficulties with reject applicants.
- If we could obtain some discretion (such as the Defense Department seems to have assumed, judging from its recommendations) with respect to areiding loyalty bearings on applicants in cases where I's feels that it should be waived, it would be helpful. I have in mind two types of sense which have recent y come to my attention, manely:
 - a. A person who twenty years ago belonged as a student to an Italian Society which is now on the Attorney Ceneral's list.
 - b. The case of acadesic people who subscribs to certain literature published by groups on the Attorney General's list. It is frequently the duty of an academician to be acquainted with such literature.

tuart Hedden

Encl: Letter from Free. Transm to all Executive Departments and Age wies with copy of report on Federal exployee security oro rams prepared by Idns. ttr dtd 7 August 1952.

Approved For Release 2003/07/31 : CIA-RDP80R01731R001700280007-1 Orige !- andrewer

1 signer

STAT

11 August 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: Inspector General

FROM : Assistant to the Director

I am attaching for your information the President's memorandum of August 7th on Federal Employee Security Programs and the report which came with it.

In the light of our concern with the security hurdle to employment by CIA, you will be particularly interested in section 8 on page 23 and recommendation 5 as it is carried through on pages 29 and 30. You will note also that the Department of Defense takes exception to this recommendation on page VI largely along the lines of a more detailed spelling out of the bases for denial of employment. Finally, note paragraph may on page 35.

I have not been able to do anything on our statement for applicants during the past couple of weeks but will try to polish if off this week.

Will you please pass this material on to DD/A when you are through with it.

1 4 . 3		

STAT

Attachment